



COMPRISED OF
THE POLICE OFFICERS
OF THE CITY
OF LOS ANGELES

LOS ANGELES POLICE PROTECTIVE LEAGUE

A PROFESSIONAL POLICE UNION

1308 WEST EIGHTH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
TELEPHONE (213) 251-4554
FACSIMILE (213) 251-4566
www.lapd.com



BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ROBERT BAKER
PRESIDENT
TIMOTHY SANDS
VICE PRESIDENT
CORINA LEE
SECRETARY
PAUL M. WEBER
TREASURER
JOHN "JACK" COTA
DIRECTOR
RAMON ESPINOSA
DIRECTOR
BRIAN JOHNSON
DIRECTOR
SCOTT RATE
DIRECTOR
PETER R. REPOVICH
DIRECTOR

July 18, 2007

Mr. Gerald F. Uelman, Executive Director
California Commission on the Fair Administration of Justice
900 Lafayette Street, Suite 608
Santa Clara, California 95050

ENRIQUE A. HERNANDEZ, ESQ.
GENERAL COUNSEL

TIM YARYAN, ESQ.
LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL
& ADVOCATE

**Subject: SB 511 (Alquist), SB 609 (Romero) and SB 756 (Ridley-Thomas) –
OPPOSE**

Dear Mr. Uelman:

The Los Angeles Police Protective League, which represents over 9,300 police officers in the City of Los Angeles, is writing to express our opposition to the three bills sponsored by your Commission.

Specifically, we are opposed to SB 511 (Alquist), SB 609 (Romero), and SB 756 (Ridley-Thomas).

We are supportive of the principal in Senator Alquist's bill, SB 511 – video and audio tapes of police interrogations are sound police practice – however, we cannot support the prejudicial jury instruction as required as part of this bill. If this provision were deleted, we could support this measure.

We cannot support the jailhouse informant provisions in SB 609. While we understand jailhouse informant testimony is often unreliable, we believe witness credibility issues are better left in the hands of a jury and to the discretion of judges, prosecutors, and defense attorneys than fixed in statute as this bill currently provides. Simply put, SB 511 and SB 609 create loopholes for defendants to get an edge in court on technicalities.

SB 756 requires the Attorney General to establish a representative working group that will ultimately lead to enforceable guidelines for witness identification that circumvent the legislative process. The bill has cosmetic differences from SB 1544 (Migden 2006), which was vetoed by the Governor. Although the bill says the recommendations are "guidelines," it goes on to say, "*The guidelines shall be transmitted to the Legislature with recommendations of any legislation needed to enforce the guidelines.*"

Mr. Gerald Uelman
July 18, 2007
Page 2

We oppose the bill for the same reasons cited by Governor Schwarzenegger in his SB 1544 veto message:


"This bill would require that the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) consult with law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, public defenders, and other legal experts in order to develop mandatory guidelines and policies for the collection and handling of eyewitness evidence...It is unthinkable that we would allow the DOJ and POST such unprecedented authority over a fundamental step in our criminal justice system. I cannot support a measure that circumvents the legislative process and denies the public and their elected representatives the chance to approve or deny a statewide policy that could have a life-altering impact on an individual participating in our justice system."

RSA is committed to working with the author in seeking mutually acceptable amendments to SB 756, but we cannot support the current form of this bill.

Please feel free to contact us, if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Los Angeles Police Protective League



ROBERT BAKER
President

RB:cs

cc: Honorable Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor of California
Honorable Elaine Alquist, Member of the Senate
Honorable Gloria Romero, Member of the Senate
Honorable Mark Ridley-Thomas, Member of the Senate
All California State Assembly Members
Tim Yaryan, Legislative Counsel and Advocate